

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/662,181

Applicant(s)

Richard J. McCurdy

Examiner

Bret Chen

Group Art Unit

1762

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Bret Chen

(3) _____

(2) John Callahan

(4) _____

Date of Interview Jul 17, 2001Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conferencec) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Claim(s) discussed: None

Identification of prior art discussed:

Greenberg et al. (6,027,766)Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

In this application, the applicant sent in a preliminary amendment and a request for a Declaration of Interference dated 9/14/00. Applicant's representative explained the applicant's position for provoking an interference. In general, the issues involve the inherent benefits such as oil repellency and hydrophilicity (i.e. self-cleaning and photocatalytic activation) which are believed to be inherent to the deposition of titanium oxide on a glass substrate. Applicant also indicated that two more Requests for Declaration of Interference are forthcoming.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

COPY

**SUPPLEMENTAL PRELIMINARY
AMENDMENT AND
REQUEST FOR INTERFERENCE
UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.607(a)
EXPEDITED PROCEDURES
EXAMINING GROUP ART UNIT 1762
PATENT APPLICATION**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Richard J. MCCURDY

Application No. 09/662,181

Group Art Unit: 1762

Filed: September 14, 2000

Examiner: B. Chen

For: METHOD FOR DEPOSITING TITANIUM OXIDE COATINGS ON FLAT GLASS
AND THE RESULTING COATED GLASS

**SUPPLEMENTAL PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT AND REQUEST FOR
DECLARATION OF INTERFERENCE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.607**

Assistant Commissioner of Patents
Washington, DC 20231

Sir:

Supplemental to the Preliminary Amendment and Request for Declaration of Interference filed in the above-identified application on September 14, 2000, Applicant further requests that, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.607, an interference also be declared between the present application and the issued patent which is identified below. It is requested that the additional interference be consolidated with the interference requested on September 14, 2000.

Kindly amend the application to enter the following interfering claims: